WATER SHORTAGE CRITERIA COMPARISON

PROGRAM COMPONENTS	SFWMD	SJRWMD	SWFWMD
Policy and purpose Protect resource from serious harm Equitable distribution of available water Minimize economic impact Provide advance knowledge of apportionments			
Condition evaluation Real time monitoring Surface and ground water Present and anticipated supply Present and anticipated user demands Comparison of demands to impact on resource and if serious harm may occur Minimum flows / levels exceedance			
 Water shortage declaration Standard to declare (insufficient water available) Source affected (surface / ground) Geographic area affected Use class Restrictions on withdrawals 4 phases, escalating in severity of restrictions 			
Restrictions • General: O Voluntary user agreements O Metering and reporting (CUP condition linkage) O MLF linkage O Shifting to unrestricted source O Monitoring levels and chloride O Restrictions on total withdrawal amount, timing of use, pumping / diversion rates			

WATER SHORTAGE CRITERIA COMPARISON

Restrictions • Specific:		
Region Specific Water Shortage Plan Linked to minimum flows / levels Triggers for Board to consider when evaluating water shortage declaration		
Linked to Federal Project Operations C & SF Project Seminole Tribe		
Component of MFL Program Circumstances for imposition of restrictions addressed Equitable distribution of water to prevent serious harm with phased cutbacks Not use shortage restrictions in place of recovery strategy Specific factors to consider when declaring shortage to protect MFL water body defined		
Variances		
Monitoring		
Enforcement		

WATER SHORTAGE CRITERIA COMPARISON

Not applicable to treated effluent or seawater	
Statutory authorities • 373.175, 373.246, F.S. • 373.042 and 373.0421, F.S.	