

SUMMARY OF THE MEETING
CENTRAL FLORIDA WATER INITIATIVE
REGULATORY TEAM MEETING

FEBRUARY 4, 2016

The Central Florida Water Initiative (CFWI) Regulatory Team held a meeting at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, February 4th via Teleconference.

Regulatory Team Members Present

Richard Burklew for Victor Castro
Maria Clemente for Sharon Trost
Mike Register for Alba Mas
Janet Llewellyn
Steve Lamb
Krystal Azzarella
Debbie Bradshaw
Mary Ellen Winkler
Amy Brennan
Ed de la Parte
Silvia Alderman

Regulatory Team Members Absent

Len Lindahl
Eric Draper
Richard Hamann
Carlyn Kowalsky

Other Participants Present

Several participants joined the meeting by phone.

1. **Call to Order:** Steven Memberg, substituting for Len Lindahl, called the meeting to order. He welcomed all participants, read the meeting introductory statement, and took a roll call of Team members.
2. **Review of Previous Meetings:** No comments.
3. **Review of Steering Committee**
 - Guiding Documents Updates: A draft of the Regulatory Team's contribution to the Guiding Document will be distributed to the Team for review and finalization prior to approval by the MOC and Steering Committee.
4. **SB 552 Implications:** Janet Llewellyn reviewed sections from the recently signed SB 552 to make sure that the Team is properly structured to meet all Legislative goals. DEP affirmed that it will address the variance process described in the legislation.
5. **CFWI Consistency:**
 - Schedule of topics – Based on response from consistency topic leads, a calendar was distributed to the Team with a timetable for topics to be presented.
 - Discussion:
 - a. Conditions for issuance – a draft was distributed to the Team similar to the version distributed during CUPcon. The Team was asked to review and provide comments.
 - b. Addressing potential impacts outside of the CFWI boundary – A discussion was held to better define this topic including to whom these future rules would apply. Additional discussions will be held between interested parties, but in general it is agreed that CFWI regulations will not allow withdrawals to be permitted that would not otherwise be authorized.
 - c. Permit compliance – A discussion was held to better define this topic. Compliance with MFLs would fall to DEP's MFL consistency topic. The group will focus on permit conditions and any other relevant issues.
 - Upcoming:
 - a. Uniform definition of harm
 - b. Uniform demand calculation by use class – Utility / Agriculture
 - c. Uniform conservation requirements by use class – Utility / Ag
 - d. Use of MFLs and reservations in the permitting process
 - e. Single permitting model tool
 - f. Permitting thresholds
 - Soil Moisture Sensors: No Team discussion occurred.

6. **Pending Permit Applications:** No Team discussion occurred.
7. **Open Discussion and Public Comment:** Eric Olsen asked to add industrial/power to the uniform demand and conservation requirements topics.
8. **Review agenda items for future meetings:** No Team discussion occurred.

The meeting was adjourned at 2:00 p.m.